# Discussions on Conceptual Difficulties of Heavy Fermion Physics

**Yi-feng Yang** 

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences



http://hf.iphy.ac.cn



2017 第四届重费米子论坛@四川江油

### **Schematic Understanding of Heavy Fermion Concepts**



# 一、重费米子研究的历史发展





- Historic development of Kondo
  - ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$

InT	Kondo/2D WL
Т	Non-Fermi liquid
<b>T</b> <sup>2</sup>	Fermi liquid
<b>T</b> <sup>5</sup>	Phonon scattering
e <sup>-∆/T</sup>	Insulator/Semiconductor
<b>e</b> <sup>T<sup>-1/n</sup></sup>	VRH (Semiconductor)







#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement

©1895:	空气被液化	<b>-192℃</b>	(81K)
©1898:	氢气被液化	<b>-</b> 253℃	(20K)
©1908:	氦气被液化	4.25K	
	NT		





#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911 Superconductivity in Hg





Fig. 1. Albert Einstein, Paul Ehrenfest, Paul Langevin, Heike Kamerlingh Onnes, and Pierre Weiss discussing superconductivity during the "Magnet-Woche" in Leiden in November 1920 (Photo: AIP)



#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911 Superconductivity in Hg
- ✓ 1957 BCS Theory of Superconductivity









#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934 Resistivity minima





#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934 Resistivity minima



Figure 2.6 Resistance minima for Fe in a series of Mo-Nb alloys (from Sarachik et al, 1964). Compare the depths of the minima with the corresponding moments in figure 1.8.



Figure 1.8. The magnetic moment in  $\mu_{\rm B}$  of Fe in various Mo-Nb and Mo-Re alloys as a function of alloy composition (Clogston et al, 1962).

Smoking gun: Resistance minima **magnetic** impurity

Journal of the Physical Society of Japan Vol. 74, No. 1, January, 2005, pp. 4–7 ©2005 The Physical Society of Japan

**SPECIAL TOPICS** 

Kondo Effect — 40 Years after the Discovery

#### Kondo Lattices and the Mott Metal-Insulator Transition

Ph. NOZIÈRES

Laboratoire d'Etude des Propriétés Electroniques des Solides, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, BP166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

(Received August 29, 2004)

In the Summer of 1964, I visited Urbana in order to work with my thesis advisor David Pines. The first person I met when entering the building was John Bardeen, just out of the seminar room. He was beaming when he told me "the long standing puzzle of the resistance minimum in metals is gone: we just heard a young Japanese theorist who has a beautiful explanation that is obviously the good one". The Kondo effect was born—and John was right! But it was only the





#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934 Resistivity minima
- ✓ 1964 Kondo effect (logarithmic resistivity)

Progress of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 32, No. 1, July 1964

#### Resistance Minimum in Dilute Magnetic Alloys

Jun Kondo

Electro-technical Laboratory Nagatacho, Chiyodaku, Tokyo

(Received March 19, 1964)









 $H_{\mathrm{sd}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} J_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} (S^+ c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}',\uparrow} + S^- c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} c_{\mathbf{k}',\downarrow} + S_z (c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} c_{\mathbf{k}',\uparrow} - c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}',\downarrow}))$ 



#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ...





#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ...





Historic development of heavy fermions

✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> @ 1975 @ γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup>

Volume 35, Number 26

29 December 1975

4f-Virtual-Bound-State Formation in CeAl<sub>3</sub> at Low Temperatures

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

K. Andres and J. E. Graebner Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

and

H. R. Ott Laboratorium für Festhörperphysik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Hönggerberg, Zürich, Switzerland (Received 25 August 1975)





 $\gamma_{\rm Cu}$ ; 0.7mJ mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-2</sup>



#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ....





#### Historic development of heavy fermions

✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> @ 1975 @ γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup>
✓ Ce, Yb, U, ..., 4f/5f intermetallics



Some d-electron systems: LiV<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>...



#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ....



金/铜等



#### Historic development of heavy fermions

✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> @ 1975 @ γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup> ✓ Ce, Yb, U, ..., 4f/5f intermetallics



#### PHYSICAL REVIEW B VOLUME 11, NUMBER 1 1 JANUARY 1975

Electronic properties of beryllides of the rare earth and some actinides

E. Bucher, \*J. P. Maita, G. W. Hull, R. C. Fulton, and A. S. Cooper Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Received 14 March 1974)

#### UBe<sub>13</sub>

We tried to detect any possible magnetic ordering below 1 °K. Instead we found a sharp superconducting transition at 0.97 °K, which was reduced by about 0.3 °K only in a field of 60 kOe. This suggests that the superconductivity is not an intrinsic property of UBe13, but probably linked with precipitated filaments. Subsequent powdering did not shift nor reduce the superconducting signal. although calibration with a Pb cylinder showed that the signal of UBe13 was only about 50% of the expected full signal. From the fact that none of the other MBe13 phases showed superconductivity down to 0.45 °K, one is tempted to conclude that the superconductivity and perhaps also the susceptibility tail at low temperature is due to precipitated U filaments.

#### Z. Physik B 31, 7-17 (1978)

Zeitschrift für Physik B © by Springer-Verlag 1978

#### Transport Properties of LaCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Between 1.5K and 300K\*\*\* W Franz A Griefel F Steelich and D Wohleben

II. Physikalisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Köln, Fod. Rep. Germany Received May 23, 1978

#### $CeCu_2Si_2$

\* The resistivity measurement of W. Lieke below 1.5 K showed a continuous drop down to about 0.6 K and then a superconducting transition. The superconductivity could be suppressed at 0.3 K by application of a magnetic field of 3T. At this field the resistivity was 41  $\mu\Omega$  cm and it did not change upon further increase of the field. We therefore consider 41  $\mu\Omega$  cm as representative of the residual resistivity of this sample. In order to check whether the superconductivity was a bulk property of CeCu\_2Si\_2 or due to a second phase forming a network through grain boundaries the specific Meissner effect (on bulk and powdered samples) in a SQUID magnetometer by R.F. Hoyt and A.C. Mota down to 30 mK. Both measurements indicate the absence of bulk superconductivity. According to the static Meissner effect less than 0.1 % of the sample volume is superconducting.



#### Historic development of heavy fermions

✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup> @1975
✓ CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

17 December 1979

tivity in the Presence of Strong Pauli Paramagnetism: CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>

F. Steglich Institut für Festkörperphysik, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, D-6100 Darmstadt, West Germany

and

J. Aarts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meschede, and W. Franz II. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, D-5000 Köln 41, West Germany

> and H. Schäfer hschule Darmstadt

Eduard-Zintl-Institut, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, D-6100 Darmstadt, West Germany (Received 10 August 1979; revised manuscript received 7 November 1979)

The size of the specific-heat jump at  $T_c$ , in proportion to  $\gamma T_c$ , suggests that Cooperpair states are formed by these heavy fermions. Since the Debye temperature,  $\Theta$ , is of the order of 200 K,<sup>5</sup> we find  $T_c < T_F < \Theta$  with  $T_c / T_F \simeq T_F / \Theta \simeq 0.05$ . This suggests that CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si (i) behaves as a "high-temperature superconductor" and (ii) cannot be described by conventional theory of superconductivity which assumes a typical phonon frequency  $k_B \Theta / h \ll k_B T_F / h$ , the characteristic frequency of the fermions.











#### Historic development of heavy fermions

✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup> @1975

✓ CeCu <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	$T_{c}=0.5$	Κ	@1	97	9	)
-------------------------------------	-------------	---	----	----	---	---

Serials	Compounds	T <sub>c</sub> (K)	γ	nodes
U-based AFM SC	UPd <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub>	2.0	210	Line
	UNi <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub>	1.06	120	-
	UBe <sub>13</sub>	0.95	1000	No
	U <sub>6</sub> Fe	3.8	157	-
	UPt <sub>3</sub>	0.53, 0.48	440	Line, Point
	UGe <sub>2</sub>	0.8 (~1.2GPa)	34	Line
	URhGe	0.3	164	-
U-based FM SC	UCoGe	0.6	57	Point
	Ulr	0.15 (~2.6GPa)	49	-
	U <sub>2</sub> PtC <sub>2</sub>	1.47	150	-
Hidden order SC	URu <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.5	70	Line
Pr-based SC	PrOs <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub>	1.85	500	点
	PrTi <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>20</sub>	0.2, 1.1(8.7GPa)	100	-
	PrV <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>20</sub>	0.05	90	-
	PuCoGa <sub>5</sub>	18.5	77	Line
Pu-based SC (Pu-115)	PuCoIn <sub>5</sub>	2.5	200	Line
	PuRhGa <sub>5</sub>	8.7	70	Line
	PuRhIn <sub>5</sub>	1.6	350	Line
Np-based SC	NpPd <sub>5</sub> Al <sub>2</sub>	4.9	200	Point
	β-YbAlB <sub>4</sub>	0.08	150	-
Yb-based SC	YbRh <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.002	-	-

Serials	Compounds	T <sub>c</sub> (K)	γ	nodes
CeM <sub>2</sub> X <sub>2</sub> (AFM	CeCu <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.6-0.7	1000	No
	CeCu <sub>2</sub> Ge <sub>2</sub>	0.64(10.1GPa)	200	-
	CePd <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.43 (3GPa)	65	-
SC)	CeRh <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.42 (1.06GPa)	23	-
	CeAu <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	2.5K(22.5GPa)	-	-
	CeNi <sub>2</sub> Ge <sub>2</sub>	0.3	350	-
	Celn <sub>3</sub>	0.23 (2.46GPa)	140	Line
	CelrIn <sub>5</sub>	0.4	750	Line
Ce <sub>n</sub> M <sub>m</sub> In <sub>3n+2m</sub> (AFM SC)	CeCoIn <sub>5</sub>	2.3	250	Line
	CeRhIn <sub>5</sub>	2.4 (2.3GPa)	430	-
	CePt <sub>2</sub> In <sub>7</sub>	2.3 (3.1GPa)	340	-
	Ce <sub>2</sub> RhIn <sub>8</sub>	2.0 (2.3GPa)	400	-
	Ce <sub>2</sub> PdIn <sub>8</sub>	0.68	550	Line
	Ce <sub>2</sub> Coln <sub>8</sub>	0.4	500	-
	Ce <sub>3</sub> PdIn <sub>11</sub>	0.42	290	-
Ce-based non- centresymmet ric SC	CePt <sub>3</sub> Si	0.75	390	Line
	CelrSi <sub>3</sub>	1.65 (2.5GPa)	120	-
	CeRhSi <sub>3</sub>	1.0 (2.6GPa)	120	-
	CeCoGe <sub>3</sub>	0.69 (6.5GPa)	32	-
	CeNiGe <sub>3</sub>	0.43 (6.8GPa)	45	-
Other Ce- based AFM SC	Ce <sub>2</sub> Ni <sub>3</sub> Ge <sub>5</sub>	0.26 (4.0GPa)	90	-
	CePd <sub>-</sub> Al <sub>2</sub>	0.57 (10.8GPa)	56	_







Kasuya (1997) Ikeda and Ohashi (1998) Okuno and Miyake (1998) Chandra et al. (2002) Viroszek et al. (2002) Mineev and Zhitomirsky (2005) Varma and Zhu (2006) Elgazzar et al. (2009) Kotetes et al. (2010) Dubi and Balatsky (2011) Pepin et al. (2011) Fujimoto (2011) Riseborough et al. (2012) Das (2012) Chandra et al. (2013) Hsu and Chakravarty (2013)

uranium dimerisation [46] *d*-spin density wave [47] CEF and quantum fluctuations [48] orbital currents [49] unconv. spin density wave [50] staggered spin density wave [51] helicity (Pomeranchuk) order [52] dynamical symmetry breaking [53] chiral *d*-density wave [54] hybridization wave [55] modulated spin liquid [56] spin nematic order [57] unconv. spin-orbital density wave [58] spin-orbital density wave [59] hastatic order [60] singlet-triplet *d*-density wave [61]

### Proposals of multipole magnetic ordering for HO

Nieuwenhuys (1987) Santini and Amoretti (1994) Kiss and Fazekas (2005) Hanzawa and Watanabe (2005) Hanzawa (2007) Haule and Kotliar (2009) Cricchio et al. (2009) Harima et al. (2010) Thalmeier and Takimoto (2011) Kusunose and Harima (2011) Ikeda et al. (2012) Rau and Kee (2012) Ressouche et al. (2012) dipole  $(2^1)$  order [62]quadrupolar  $(2^2)$  order [63]octupolar  $(2^3)$  order [64]octupolar order [65]incommensurate octupole [66]hexadecapolar  $(2^4)$  order [67]dotriacontapolar  $(2^5)$  order [68]antiferro quadrupolar order [69]E(1, 1)-type quadrupole [70]antiferro hexadecapole [71] $E^-$ -type dotriacontapole [72]E-type dotriacontapole [73]dotriacontapolar order [16]



#### Historic development of heavy fermions

✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup> @1975
✓ CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979
✓ URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Hidden order @1985





#### Historic development of heavy fermions

- ✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ**=1.62 J/mol K**<sup>2</sup> @1975
- ✓ CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979
- ✓ URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Hidden order @1985
- ✓ Ce<sub>3</sub>Bi<sub>4</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub> Kondo insulator @1992





#### Historic development of heavy fermions

- ✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup> @1975
- ✓ CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979
- ✓ URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Hidden order @1985
- ✓ Ce<sub>3</sub>Bi<sub>4</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub> Kondo insulator @1992
- ✓ SmB<sub>6</sub> Topological KI @2008





#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @ T→0
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ...



#### Historic development of heavy fermions

- ✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ**=1.62 J/mol K**<sup>2</sup> @1975
- ✓ CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979
- ✓ URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Hidden order @1985
- ✓ Ce<sub>3</sub>Bi<sub>4</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub> Kondo insulator @1992
- ✓ SmB<sub>6</sub> Topological KI @2008

1.5









#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ....



#### Historic development of heavy fermions

- ✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ**=1.62 J/mol K**<sup>2</sup> @1975
- ✓ CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979
- ✓ URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Hidden order @1985
- ✓ Ce<sub>3</sub>Bi<sub>4</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub> Kondo insulator @1992
- ✓ SmB<sub>6</sub> Topological KI @2008





#### Historic development of Kondo

- ✓ (19th Century) Electron behavior @  $T \rightarrow 0$
- ✓ Liquification & Resistance measurement
- ✓ 1911-1957 Superconductivity EXP→THEO
- ✓ 1934-1964 Kondo effect EXP→THEO
- ✓ Many approaches: EOM, NRG, QMC ....

#### Coherent Kondo Screening below T<sub>K</sub>





#### Historic development of heavy fermions

- ✓ CeAl<sub>3</sub> γ=1.62 J/mol K<sup>2</sup> @1975
- ✓ CeCu₂Si₂ T<sub>c</sub>=0.5 K @1979
- ✓ URu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> Hidden order @1985
- ✓ Ce<sub>3</sub>Bi<sub>4</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub> Kondo insulator @1992
- ✓ SmB<sub>6</sub> Topological KI @2008

#### Coherent behavior below T\*



# 二、重费米子物理的概念问题



#### Mean-field theory & Hybridization

✓ Lattice coherence @ T<T\*











#### Static Hybridization, only below T\*

#### Mean-field theory & Hybridization

- ✓ Lattice coherence @ T<T\*
- ✓ Hybridization & FS change
- ✓ Optical & Hybridization gap
- ✓ Ground state: KI & SC & TKI & SL ...

#### DMFT & Coherence/Duality

- ✓ Dynamic fluctuations @ T>T\*
- ✓ Local/Itinerant crossover @ T<T\*
- ✓ Development of coherence @ T<T\*</p>
- ✓ Short range correlation (*Extended*)



**Dynamical mean-field theory** 

No long range magnetic correlations Hard to describe quantum criticality







- Quantum criticality @ low T
  - ✓ RKKY & Magnetism

#### **RKKY** interaction





Physica 91B (1977) 231-234 © North-Holland

#### THE KONDO LATTICE AND WEAK ANTIFERROMAGNETISM

#### S. DONIACH\*

Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, California 94305, USA

By considering a one-dimensional analog of a system of conduction electrons exchange coupled to a localized spin in each cell of a lattice, it is suggested that a second-order transition from an antiferromagnetic to a Kondo spincompensated ground state will occur as the exchange coupling constant J is increased to a critical value Je. For systems in which  $J \leq J_c$ , a very weak sublattice magnetization may occur as a result of nearly complete spin-compensation.

(S. Doniach)





FIGURE 12. Illustrating how the polarization of spin around a magnetic impurity gives rise to Friedel oscillations and induces an RKKY interaction between the spins



$$H_{KL} = \frac{J_H \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j}{\langle ij \rangle} + J_K \sum_i \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i + \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma}$$



#### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- Power-law scaling in resistivity, specific heat, susceptibility
- Peak at finite wave vector in dynamic susceptibility
- No ω/T scaling ...



#### Long-wavelength Spin density wave (SDW) fluctuations



#### • Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001

AFM quantum phase transition accompanied with suppression of Kondo screening (Fermi surface change)





The SDW predictions are violated



#### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001
- ✓ Fractionalization @2004

Fractionalized excitations near QCP spinon/spinon SDW ...





The SDW predictions are violated



#### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001
- ✓ Fractionalization @2004
- ✓ Critical valence fluctuations @2010

# Valence transition & abrupt FS change with hybridization on both sides





The SDW predictions are violated



#### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001
- ✓ Fractionalization @2004
- ✓ Critical valence fluctuations @2010
- ✓ Critical quasiparticle @2011

Heavy quasiparticles still exist but become critical:  $N(E) \sim |E|^{\alpha}$ 





The SDW predictions are violated



#### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001
- ✓ Fractionalization @2004
- ✓ Critical valence fluctuations @2010
- ✓ Critical quasiparticle @2011
- ✓ Magnetic & hybridization fluc. @2017



# Interplay of two types of quantum critical fluctuations



The SDW predictions are violated



### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001
- ✓ Fractionalization @2004
- ✓ Critical valence fluctuations @2010
- ✓ Critical quasiparticle @2011
- ✓ Magnetic & hybridization fluc. @2017



### Non-Fermi liquid @ high T

- ✓ Onset of coherence (What is T\*?)
- ✓ Local/Itinerant crossover (Two fluids?)
- ✓ New scaling (Different from Kondo?)
- ✓ Two types of quantum fluctuations?





Figure 1 | Confirmation of  $T^*$  given by the intersite RKKY interaction for a variety of Kondo lattice materials. The solid line shows  $T^*$  (resistivity peak) of CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> under pressure from 1 GPa (lower left) to 5 GPa (upper right).



Non-Fermi liquid @ high T

✓ Onset of coherence (What is T\*?)

Kondo or RKKY ?

In mean-field theory or DMFT, the onset of coherence is given by the Kondo scale!







#### Non-Fermi liquid @ high T

- ✓ Onset of coherence (What is T\*?)
- ✓ Localized/Itinerant crossover (Two fluids?)

#### What is different above & below T\*? Local vs collective hybridization?



#### Hence Kondo lattice physics is different from the single-ion Kondo physics!



#### Non-Fermi liquid @ high T

(a)

T\*= 60K

T\*= 60K

T\*= 40K

T\*= 60K

T\*= 20K

<sub>т\*= 70К</sub> (b)

T\*= 65K

T\*=145K

T\*= 15K

T\*= 85K

T\*= 55K

0.9

1.0

0.7

0.8

- ✓ Onset of coherence (What is T\*?)
- ✓ Local/Itinerant crossover (Two fluids?)
- ✓ New scaling (Different from Kondo?)



#### Different from Kondo scaling

Temperature





Heavy fermion physics determined by interplay of two types of QC fluctuations?

30

25-

20-

10-

SC

Pressure (GPa)

3

2



#### Quantum criticality @ low T

- ✓ RKKY & Magnetism
- ✓ SDW scenario (Hertz/Millis/Moriya)
- ✓ Kondo breakdown @2001
- ✓ Fractionalization @2004
- ✓ Critical valence fluctuations @2010
- ✓ Critical quasiparticle @2011
- ✓ Magnetic & hybridization fluc. @2017



### Non-Fermi liquid @ high T

- ✓ Onset of coherence (What is T\*?)
- ✓ Local/Itinerant crossover (Two fluids?)
- ✓ New scaling (Different from Kondo?)
- ✓ Two types of quantum fluctuations?



#### New Quantum Critical Matter SC, Hidden order, TI ...

-2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5



### **Schematic Understanding of Heavy Fermion Concepts**



## **Future directions of heavy fermion research**

### Explore the basic nature of heavy fermion physics

We are approaching the final answer of the questions: local/itinerant duality & interplay of various QC fluctuations

### Find new frontiers: "Heavy fermion +"

Topological, Weyl, frustration, new exotic phases ... Thin film, superstructure, cold atoms, d-electron systems ...

### Implement state-of-the-art techniques ARPES, STM, MBE, pump probe, ultrasound …







